



Corrigendum to “Nearly unbiased estimation of sample skewness” [Econom. Lett. 192 (2020) 109174]

DOI:

[10.1016/j.econlet.2024.111755](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2024.111755)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Li, Y. (2024). Corrigendum to “Nearly unbiased estimation of sample skewness” [Econom. Lett. 192 (2020) 109174]. *Economics Letters*, Article 111755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2024.111755>

Published in:

Economics Letters

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Corrigendum to “Nearly Unbiased Estimation of Sample Skewness”
[Economics Letters 192 (2020) 109174]*

Yifan Li[†]

University of Manchester Lancaster University

The author regrets that the above paper contained the following errors, which may potentially cause misleading results and interpretations to the users of the proposed skewness estimator.

First, in Eq. (3.2), the correct formula for $H_{i,j}$ is:

$$H_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{T(T-6)}{(T-2)(T-4)} - \frac{3T\mathbb{1}_{\{j \in [h+1, T-h-1]\}}}{(T-4)(T-2h-1)}, & i = 1, \forall j \\ \frac{T^2}{(T-i+1)(T-4)} \left(\frac{2\mathbb{1}_{\{j=i-1\}}}{T} + \frac{(T-2)\mathbb{1}_{\{i+j=T+1\}}}{T} - \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{j \in [h+1, T-h-1]\}}}{T-2h-1} \right), & i \in [2, h+1], \forall j \\ H_{i-h, T-j}, & i \in [h+2, 2h+1], \forall j \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where the published version has an incorrect $-$ in place of $+$ on the second line of the rhs above. This error does not affect the bias-corrected skewness estimator $\hat{\mu}_{3,h}^* = \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} H_{1,j} \hat{\gamma}_{2,1}(j)$, which does not use the incorrect rows of $H_{i,j}$ with $i \geq 2$. Consequently, all simulation and empirical results of the original paper remain intact.

Second, in Eq. (2.3) of the supplementary material, the correct formula for $G_{i,j}$ is as follows, where the bold symbols highlight the changes to the original versions:

$$G_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{2-T}{T^2}, & i \in [1, T-1], \quad j = 1 \\ \frac{(T-2)i\mathbb{1}_{\{i+j=T+1\}}}{T^2} - \frac{2(T-i)\mathbb{1}_{\{i=j-1\}}}{T^2} + \frac{(6-T)(T-j-1)}{T^3}, & i \in [1, T-1], j \in [2, h+1] \\ G_{T-i, j-h}, & i \in [1, T-1], \quad j \in [h+2, 2h+1] \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

The above correction ensures that $E[\hat{\Gamma}] = \mathbf{G}_h \mathbf{\Gamma}_h$ holds for $\mathbf{\Gamma}_h = \{\mu_3, \gamma_{1,2}(1), \dots, \gamma_{1,2}(h), \gamma_{2,1}(1), \dots, \gamma_{2,1}(h)\}'$ according to the definition in Theorem 2, whereas the original definition satisfies the same result by interchanging the roles of $\gamma_{1,2}(j)$ with $\gamma_{2,1}(j)$ in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_h$, which is inconsistent with the notation in Theorem 2. The original results in section 2 of the supplementary material holds true by changing the definition of $G_{i,j}$ to Eq. (2) above.

Third, Proposition 1.2 and the consistency result in Theorem 2.1 of the supplementary material require additional technical assumptions on the summability of the sample cross-moments as $T \rightarrow \infty$, as otherwise one cannot determine the asymptotic order of an infinite sum of sample cross-moments in the limit. For example, one can impose the following absolute summability condition on $\hat{\gamma}_{2,1}(j)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{T-1} |\hat{\gamma}_{2,1}(j)| = O_p(1).$$

All finite sample results in the original paper do not require such asymptotic condition and are thus not affected.

The author apologize to readers for any inconvenience caused.

*DOI of the original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2020.109174>

[†]Correspondence to: Alliance Manchester Business School, Booth Street W, Manchester, M15 6PB, UK.

Email address: yifan.li@manchester.ac.uk.